

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/695,883	10/26/2000	Masaru Fuse	2000 1489A	2985	
7590 06/03/2004			EXAMI	EXAMINER	
Wenderoth Lind & Ponack LLP			SINGH, DALZID E		
2033 K Street NW Suite 800 Washington, DC 20006			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2633	1	
			DATE MAILED: 06/03/2004	<i>/</i> 6	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

PTO-90C (Rev. 10/03)

•						
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/695,883	FUSE, MASARU				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Dalzid Singh	2633				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timed within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONEI	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30 O	<u>ctober 2003</u> .					
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This	☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.					
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
 4) Claim(s) 1-11 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 3-11 is/are withdrawn 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 2 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or 	from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex		• •				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 3.	Paper No(s)/Mail Da					
S. Potent and Trademad Office						

Art Unit: 2633

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election of restriction requirement in Paper No. 6 is acknowledged. Because applicant did not distinctly and specifically point out the supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election has been treated as an election without traverse (MPEP § 818.03(a)).

Claim Objections

2. Claim 1 is objected to because of the following informalities: In claim 1, applicant recites, "...taking a provided intermittent signal as an original signal..." It is not clear what is meant by "an original signal" Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bosotti (US Patent No. 4,267,590) in view of Netsu (US Patent No. 5,715,074).

Regarding claim 1, Bosotti teaches optical communication apparatus for transmitting optical signal from a transmitting side to a receiving side (see col. 3, lines 7-12 and Fig. 1), the apparatus comprising:

Art Unit: 2633

m (m is a natural number not less than 2) optical transmitting circuits for sending the optical signal (in Fig. 1, Bosotti shows more than two optical transmitting circuits, for example, T_1 to T_n);

n (n is a natural number not less than 2) optical receiving circuits for receiving the optical signal from each of said optical transmitting circuits (in Fig. 1, Bosotti shows more than two optical receiving circuits, for example, R_1 to R_n); and

an optical transfer circuit (Fig. 1 shows optical multiplexer (MX) and optical demultiplexer (DMX) as optical transfer circuit) for connecting each of said optical transmitting circuits (T_1 to T_n) and each of said optical receiving circuits (R_1 to R_n),

wherein each of said optical transmitting circuits intermittently sends optical signals outputted by taking a provided signal as an original signal so as to prevent a collision among the optical signals (in col. 3, lines 24-30 and col. 4, lines 7-18, Bosotti teaches clock circuit controls transmission of the signal. Clock signal is a periodic signal comprising of rise and fall time (for example, rise and fall can be represented by binary value such as 1 and 0 respectively). Since transmission of the optical signal is controlled by clock signal, therefore the optical signal is transmitted only when the value of the clock signal is equal to 1. Based on this, it would have been obvious to indicate that the optical communication system of Bosotti intermittently transmit optical signal. Moreover, in col. 4, lines 3-6, Bosotti teaches the use of optical delays, which help to avoid collision of the optical signal),

said optical transfer circuit multiplexes the optical signals outputted from said optical transmitting circuits, separates the multiplexed optical signal into optical signals

Art Unit: 2633

for every predetermined wavelength corresponding to said optical receiving circuits, and individually outputs the separated optical signals from n output ports provided thereto (shown in Fig. 1, Bosotti shows optical multiplexer (MX) to multiplex the optical signal from transmitting circuits (T_1 to T_n) and optical demultiplexer (DMX) to separate the multiplexed optical signal into individually output ports corresponding to receiving circuits (R_1 to R_n)),

each of said optical receiving circuits converts the optical signal outputted from a corresponding one of said output ports into an electrical signal and outputs the electrical signal (in col. 3, lines 31-36 and shown in Fig. 1, Bosotti teaches that each receiving circuit, for example, R₁, contains photodetector (FR), which converts optical signal into electrical signal and output the electrical signal to amplifier/equalizer (AE)), and

as shown in Fig. 1 and discussed in col. 3, lines 24-30, Bosotti discloses optical modulators to modulate the optical signal at different frequencies or wavelengths. At the receiving side the individual frequency or wavelength of the signal is separated and received by different receivers. For example, transmitter T₁ transmits optical signal at frequency f₁ and received by receiver R₁. Since a particular optical signal is directed to a particular receiver, therefore, it would have been obvious that the wavelength information of the optical signal use address (for example, f₁ for R₁) to direct information to a particular location.

Bosotti differs from the claimed invention in that Bosotti does not specifically disclose transmitting "burst optical signal" However, in optical communication system, transmitting burst optical signal is well known. Netsu is cited to show such well known

Art Unit: 2633

concept (see col. 1, lines 4-13, and col. 4, lines 23-26). Therefore, it would have been obvious to an artisan of ordinary skill in the art to modify the transmission system of Bosotti in order to transmit optical burst signal as taught by Netsu. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to do such in order to provide high intensity, high data rates and short transmission times, which enables communication between data terminal and data network operating at different data signaling rates.

Allowable Subject Matter

5. Claim 2 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Gloge (US Patent No. 4,563,774) is cited to show address coded communication system.

Dugan (US Patent No. 5,710,650) is cited to show WDM optical fiber transceiver and method.

Doerr et al (US Patent No. 5,909,294) is cited to show WDM system using transceivers.

Art Unit: 2633

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dalzid Singh whose telephone number is 703-306-5619. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 8am - 4pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jason Chan can be reached on 703-305-4729. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

DS May 10, 2004 Dabid lingh Page 6